

RedLAC Annual Assembly Costa Rica November 2013



## **PRACTICE STANDARDS**



## **Core Group members**

#### Sponsoring members











## **Participative Process**

**2012** Stakeholder meeting in COP 11 and call for funds participation in RedLAC Assembly

Mar 2013 Core areas discussion

May and Jun 2013 Weekly review by topic – calls

Jun 2013 2-day meeting for review **Sept 2013** CAFÉ Assembly

Broad feedback from the "sounding board"

CTFs Executive Directors for interview Nov 2013 RedLAC Assembly



### **Practice Standards for CTFs**

Objective

# *To improve the design, management and monitoring and evaluation*

Can serve as basis for harmonization of international donor rules and policies



Also to increase understanding of how CTFs function:

- By CTFs
- Current and potential donors
- National governments
- Civil society organizations

Intended to assist CTFs in becoming sustainable, efficient and effective mechanisms for biodiversity conservation



### Important

- -Aspirational
- -Appropriate norms
- Good reference
- Sources of guidance for growth and improvement
- Not set in stone

Certification standards

-May not "fit" all types of CTFs – or not in the same "formats"

-Guidelines. Not expected that each CTF apply all standards.



### Target users: Private independent CTFs

Many aspects can be applied, adopted and adapted to quasi-autonomous environmental funds hosted by government agencies or ministries





## **Core Areas**

- 1. Governance
- 2. Operations
- 3. Administration
- 4. Asset management
- 5. Monitoring and reporting
- 6. Sustainable financial resource mobilization





**Structure of the Standards** 

# Table of standards. Text of each one for easy reference

### - For each core area: glossary of terms





### For every standard in each core area

**Reason for the standard:** Why it is important

**Practical considerations:** Based on successful practices, who carries it out or is responsible

Evidenced by:

What evidence is used to establish how the CTF achieves the standard



## An example

## **Standards for Operations**

# 7. CTFs encourage cost-sharing (matching funds) of projects

**Reason for the standard:** indicates commitment by grantee and allows CTF to finance more projects

#### Practical considerations:

- Guidelines to cost-sharing as part of manual for grant-making
- Small organizations allowed in-kind contributions
- Cost-sharing commitments are monitored as part of project implementation and taken into account in evaluation of grantee

**Evidenced by:** Manual on grant-making; approved grant proposals; project or grantee accounts; grantee progress reports





## **Annex 1.** Checklist of standards to consider when creating a CTF

**Annex 2.** Checklist of standards to consider when assessing a CTF





- -To guide CTF or Fund design
- -To educate governing bodies
- -To derive indicators for strategic planning, business plans, etc
- -To self-assess
- -To structure independent evaluations
- -To expedite fundraising negotiations with new donors
- -To systematize and share best practices



## Examples of use

### FMCN

### Fondo Acción

### MAR Fund

#### Others?





- Practice standards are a great tool
- Good way to organize procedures and norms internally
- By applying them, we can collectively improve them or add to them

Other core areas for consideration:

- Internal communication
- External communication and public awareness
- Human capital management
- Information technologies



### Next steps

- Ouestions: please take to Kathy
- Printed executive summary + CD will be available
  2014
- Also electronic version
- Translation to French and Spanish
- On-line opportunity to provide results of funds' implementation of standards – two-year period
- Review of standards



## **Core Group members**

#### Sponsoring members











### **Thank You!**



www.conservationfinance.org secretariat@conservationfinance.org https://twitter.com/conservfinance